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Abstract
The Mechanical Biological Technology (MBA/MBS) is a new way of active treatment of
the organic solid waste ending up in a product that is stabilised. Composting the end
product is usually a problem due to heavy metal or other “unhelpful” by-products. Still
there is a lot of energy in the organic waste and with an anaerobic process, at least this
part can be used. Therefore many companies tried to make Biogas out of the biological
fraction with more or less success. The reason for this is that the waste usually contains
sand, stones, glass and other heavy, settable products. Many of the systems just can
handle this. In a laid plug flow fermenter and a “dry” technology the problem of clogging
can be settled. The lying plug flow fermenter works satisfactorily for the continuous fer-
mentation of bio wastes for many years. The plug flow makes a fermentation process
possible without additional liquid and can be extremely stable operated in the thermo-
phyl temperature range. The plug flow fermenter is also used successfully in the range
of the fermentation of regenerating raw materials. In addition the gas utilisation tech-
nologies like cleaning on natural gas quality and feed to the natural gas net are pre-
sented.
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1 „Dry fermentation“ ??

The term “dry fermentation“ has established over the years to document the difference
to the “wet fermenter“. Of course, this term is completely absurd as there is no such
thing as dry fermentation. The following list explains why there is no “dry fermentation”,
because fermentation

- always happens in the water (film)
  - Exoenzymes are released into the water
  - Macromolecules are split with these
- Small, dissolved molecules are absorbed into the cell
  and are metabolized there
2 Anaerobic steps of degradation and fermentation temperatures

Although it is sufficiently well-known what happens during anaerobic fermentation and how that happens, the picture by Zehnder and Guyer shows the operations in a very descriptive way:

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1** Operations in anaerobic fermentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>organic solid matter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amino acids, sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate products: particularly propionate, butyrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why are different temperatures, like mesophilic or thermophilic temperatures, used in the anaerobic technology? The mesophilic range is very well-known, especially from sewage treatment plant technology where mesophilic digesters have been used for a long time as a sludge degradation and stabilisation stage. The thermophilic range actually just became interesting when people started to anaerobically degrade organic matter...
industrial wastewater partly with delicate content. This knowledge was then applied to the so-called “solid matter fermentation” in the early 1990s.

The positive results/effects cannot be denied, although more energy, which of course in huge plants is recovered, has to be put into the warming-up. The main reason is that the microorganisms in the thermophlic range are much more active, and although these are small populations they are very stable and “good-natured”. Experience has shown that despite the disadvantages the sum of all advantages is prevailing.

![Figure 2](image-url) Specialization of the microorganisms in different temperature ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hyperthermophilic</td>
<td>Weniger Mikroorganismen Hoch spezialisiert (~55°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thermophilic</td>
<td>Viele Mikroorganismen Mäßig spezialisiert (~36°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>Sehr viele Mikroorganismen Wenig spezialisiert (kalt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psychrophilic, cryophilic</td>
<td>a great many microorganisms, not very specialized (cold)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Use of “dry fermentation“ in the MBT

Thanks to this stability the thermophilic method, in connection with a lying fermenter (plug flow) and the fact that it makes sense for economical and ecological reasons not to introduce water into the process, is extraordinary suitable for the organic fraction of the MBT. Positive about that is the following:
This method, now again called “dry fermentation”, has major advantages compared to those systems in which somehow and at some point of time in the process flow large amounts of water have to be added, because otherwise the substrate cannot be moved sufficiently any more.

In the following it is tried to depict a comparison between “dry fermentation” and “wet fermentation”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>35 – 40% TS</th>
<th>~15% TS</th>
<th>~12 bis ~10% TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Trocken”</td>
<td>~25%</td>
<td>zu ~15% TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Nass”</td>
<td>~15%</td>
<td>~12 bis ~10% TS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One of the main causes for problems in “diluted“ processes or with standing fermenters is the sedimentation of the settleable solids.

The slow stirring is especially used in sludge thickeners to thicken thin sludges statically.
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This problem is clearly not given in the plug flow fermenter as the settleable solids, which always have to be expected from a MBT, in the "thick" sludge are also fermented in the "dry fermentation" and sediment less or not at all.

Also the stirring technique is here an essential fact for the success that the settleable solids as well as the floating sludge layer are conducted through the fermenter as a compact mass.

Figure 4

Explanation of the German terms contained in the figure:

- Zulauf – inflow
- Trocken – dry
- Biogas – biogas
- Ablauf – outflow
- Nass – wet
- Biogas – biogas
- Ablauf – outflow
- Zulauf - inflow
Figure 5

Explanation of the German terms contained in the figure:

- trocken – dry
- Zulauf – inflow
- Biogas – biogas
- Ablauf – outflow
- Schwimmend gelagerte Welle – full floating shaft
- Geringe Lagerbelastung – little bearing load
- Kein Verschleiß (langsamlauflaufend) – no wear and tear (slow-running)
- Keine Wartung – no maintenance
- Nass – wet
- Biogas – biogas
- Ablauf – outflow
- Propellerrührwerke – propeller stirrers
- Zulauf – inflow
- Energieintensiv – energy-intensive
- Hoher Verschleiß (langsamlauflaufend) – high wear and tear (slow-running)
- Wartungsintensiv – maintenance-intensive

The so reached plug flow ensures the retention time in the fermenter and therefore the necessary retention time for a guaranteed sanitation of the introduced substrate.
4 Comparison of the process control in MBT or for biological waste

In the following pictures the basic difference in process control between separately collected biological waste and the organic fraction of a MBT is to be pointed out. In MBT it was assumed that the final disposal still happens in a landfill. Up to today, of course several other possibilities have been discussed and partly also tested.
Figure 7  Concept fermentation MBT

Explanation of the German terms contained in the figure:
- Input – input
- Trennung – separation
- Sieb – sieve
- Befeuchtung – moistening
- Fermenter – fermenter
- Impfung – seeding
- Biogas – biogas
- Entwässerung – dewatering
- Presse – press
- Nachbehandlung – follow-up treatment
- Rotte – rot
- Abbau – degradation
- Deponie – landfill
- TS – dry matter DM

Figure 8  Concept fermentation biological waste (separately collected)

Explanation of the German terms contained in the figure:
In the fermentation of separately collected biological wastes, as it is practiced in many locations in Switzerland, it is ensured that an end product quality can be achieved which in all respects is safe and can be used in agriculture or in horticulture. So, the utilization (disposal) is guaranteed.

5 Hygienic aspects

As mentioned before, the thermophilic fermentation in the plug flow really is a motivation to take a closer look at the hygienically relevant aspects. In the past years several experiments were conducted to prove the stabilizing and germicidal effect of this method. It has shown that no hazardous germs or viruses survived the procedure. It was not tested for BSE pathogens, but it is to be assumed that, if such pathogens had been there, the lethal effect would have been nil. However, in all checked plants no slaughterhouse refuse or amounts of raw meat have been processed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bakterien</th>
<th>Biogasanlage 53 °C</th>
<th>Biogasanlage 35 °C</th>
<th>Lagergrube 18–21 °C</th>
<th>Lagergrube 6–15 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T-90 Werte Stunden</td>
<td>T-90 Werte Tage</td>
<td>T-90 Werte Wochen</td>
<td>T-90 Werte Wochen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella typhimurium</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>5,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella dublin</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.coli</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>7,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>7,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliforme Bakterien</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>9,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus faecalis</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruppe D-Streptokokken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>21,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T-90 Wert: Einwirkzeit für eine 50%-ige Reduktion der Keime
In the material from MBT the probability of unwanted germs is of course very high. With this method it is now possible to have at least one end product which is safe in terms of hygiene.

However, by that the product has not become better for the utilization in agriculture as there still are visible, as we like to call it, signs of civilization in the end products. Also the problem of heavy metals is not solved in the fermenter.

The “cleaner” of course the organic fraction from MBT, the more unproblematically the following stages can be realised and the more unproblematic is a follow-up utilization.
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